UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,954	05/30/2006	Steffen Fries	1454.1714	8341
21171 STAAS & HAL	7590 12/19/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2437	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/580,954	FRIES, STEFFEN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JEFFERY WILLIAMS	2437		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Mar</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐  Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	2 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 123107 080206 053006	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/580,954 Page 2

Art Unit: 2437

1	DETAILED ACTION
2	
3	Claims 11 – 21 are pending.
4	
5	
6	Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112
7	The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
8 9 10	The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
11	Claims 16, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph
12	as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the
13	subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
14	Specifically, these claims appear to comprise recitations solely to an intended
15	use of a security module and do not comprise limitations further defining a security
16	module as claimed.
17	
18	
19	Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102
20	
21 22 23	(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
23 24	Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by
25	DiSanto et al. (DiSanto), U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0009659.
26	

Application/Control Number: 10/580,954

Page 3

Art Unit: 2437

Regarding claim 1, DiSanto discloses:

a protocol processing unit processing messages of the key exchange protocol as well as data packets transported using the encrypted transport protocol, converting voice signals, created by the one of the first and second telecommunication terminals at which said security module is connected, into data packets for transport via the encrypted transport protocol and converting data packets, arriving at said security module after transport via the encrypted transport protocol, into voice signals (DiSanto, fig. 2b:210,220; par. 31, 42, 43 – Herein DiSanto discloses means for processing key exchange and encrypted data transport procedures [i.e. "protocols"] for the purpose of encrypting and decrypting voice and data communications between telecommunication terminals);

a modem connection unit, used when said security module is connected in a connecting line at a second telecommunication terminal, setting up a modem connection between the second telecommunication terminal and at least one of the gateway and another second telecommunication terminal, with the data packets being transported using the encrypted transport protocol, along with messages of the key exchange protocol, via the modem connection (DiSanto, fig. 2b:240; fig. 4; par. 33).

Regarding claim 2, DiSanto discloses:

wherein a point-to-point protocol connection is used over the modem connection in transporting the data packets using the encrypted transport protocol, as well as messages of the key exchange protocol (DiSanto, par. 41, 42 – herein DiSanto

Application/Control Number: 10/580,954 Page 4

Art Unit: 2437

discloses a procedure for establishing a direct connection between two nodes [i.e.

2 "point-to-point protocol connection"].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 – 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiSanto in view of Blom et al. (Blom), "Conversational IP Multimedia Security".

Regarding claim 13, DiSanto discloses a security module designed to provide encrypted transport to data between terminals within a network. DiSanto, however, does not appear to explicitly recite wherein the encrypted transport protocol is Secure Real Time Transport Protocol.

Blom discloses that applications for securely transmitting voice data through networks, such as disclosed by DiSanto, should employ SRTP (Blom, Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the teachings of Blom within DiSanto. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the teachings that such security protocols and

Application/Control Number: 10/580,954

Art Unit: 2437

Page 5

1 methods were designed specifically so as to improve the secure transport of voice and 2 data between communication terminals (Blom, Abstract; section 3).

Regarding claim 14, the combination enables:

wherein the key exchange protocol is multimedia Internet keying (Blom,

Abstract).

Regarding claim 15, the combination enables:

wherein for a telephone conversation, messages of the key exchange protocol are transported via a session initiation protocol, and wherein said protocol processing unit processes the session initiation protocol (Blom, section 2; section 5).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, the combination discloses that any conventional communications system may be employed (DiSanto, par. 19). While the combination does not appear to explicitly recite an ISDN communications system or the utilization of the B channel of the ISDN system, the examiner notes that the employment of ISDN and the B channel of ISDN were well known and implemented concepts to those of ordinary skill in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to recognize ISDN and the utilization of communications over the B channel because such system was conventional and its benefits were well recognized.

Regarding claims 18 and 19, the combination enables:

Application/Control Number: 10/580,954 Page 6

Art Unit: 2437

1	wherein the packet-oriented network is an Internet protocol-based data network,
2	wherein the packet-oriented network is local area network (par. 19).
3	
4	Regarding claim 20, the combination enables:
5	wherein said modem connection unit sets up the modem connection in
6	accordance with at least one of a V90 and a V92 standard (DiSanto, par. 33).
7	
8	Regarding claim 21, the combination enables:
9	wherein said security module is connected into a connecting cable between a
10	telephone handset and the one of the first and second telecommunication terminals
11	(DiSanto, fig
12	
13	Conclusion
14	
15	The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to
16	applicant's disclosure:
17	See Notice of References Cited.
18	
19	A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire 3 months (not less than 90
20	days) from the mailing date of this communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,954

Art Unit: 2437

1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 7

2 examiner should be directed to Jeffery Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

4 If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

3

5

6

7

9

10

13

8 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

11 Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

12 For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

14 Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

15 16

17 J. Williams

18 AU 2437

19

20 /Emmanuel L. Moise/

21 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437